

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/085,451	SUGAYA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Prenell P. Jones	2616	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Prenell P. Jones.

(3) _____.

(2) Applicants Representative (Mr. Bruno Polito).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 September 2006

Time: 11:00 am

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

2 and 3

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner requested authorization to perform an Examiners amendment on claims 2 and 3. Mr. Polito authorized the deletion of the word "number" as it is associated with claim 2, in line 17 and performing the same deletion as it is associated with claim 3, line 17..